METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR DEVELOPING SUBJECT COMPETENCIES IN GRADES 5–6 STUDENTS BASED ON THE MATERIAL–REPRESENTATIONAL–SYMBOLIC (MRT) APPROACH

Yusupov Kholmirza Alisherovich
Head of the Exact Sciences Department,
Republican Education Center under the
Ministry of Preschool and School Education
E-mail: yusupov14071984@gmail.com
Tel: +998 93 795 14 07
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19470989

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 03rd April 2026
Accepted: 06th April 2026
Online: 08th April 2026

KEYWORDS

MRT approach, subject competencies, constructivism, cognitive development, middle school education, visual learning, symbolic thinking, pedagogy

ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the methodological foundations of developing subject-specific competencies among 5th–6th grade students through the Material–Representational–Symbolic (MRT) approach. The MRT framework, rooted in cognitive and constructivist learning theories, emphasizes a structured progression from concrete (material) experiences to visual (representational) understanding and finally to abstract (symbolic) reasoning. Empirical and theoretical sources indicate that such staged learning significantly enhances conceptual comprehension, retention, and problem-solving abilities in middle school learners. The study synthesizes existing pedagogical research, curriculum frameworks, and classroom-based findings to identify effective strategies for implementing MRT in general education settings. Results demonstrate that integrating MRT into teaching practices improves learners' cognitive engagement, supports differentiated instruction, and fosters higher-order thinking skills.

Introduction

Modern educational paradigms increasingly prioritize competency-based learning, where students are expected not only to acquire knowledge but also to apply it effectively in diverse contexts. In this regard, the development of subject-specific competencies among middle school students has become a central objective of educational reforms worldwide [1, p. 15].

The Material–Representational–Symbolic (MRT) approach provides a structured pathway for learning, aligning with the cognitive development stages identified by Jean Piaget. According to Piaget, learners transition from concrete operational thinking to more abstract reasoning during the ages of 10–12, corresponding to grades 5–6 [2, p. 47].

The MRT approach has been widely applied in mathematics and science education, particularly in improving conceptual understanding. Studies indicate that students who engage in material and visual learning before symbolic abstraction demonstrate significantly higher academic achievement compared to those taught through traditional methods [3, p. 89].

Methodology

This research employs a qualitative-analytical approach based on the synthesis of pedagogical literature, curriculum standards, and empirical studies related to MRT implementation. The methodology includes:

- Analysis of constructivist learning theories developed by Lev Vygotsky, emphasizing the role of social interaction and scaffolding in learning [4, p. 102].
- Review of educational frameworks that incorporate staged learning models.
- Comparative analysis of classroom-based studies evaluating MRT effectiveness in middle school education.

Data were collected from peer-reviewed journal articles, educational policy documents, and experimental studies conducted between 2000 and 2022.

Results

The findings reveal several key outcomes associated with the implementation of the MRT approach:

First, the use of concrete materials significantly enhances students' initial understanding of abstract concepts. Research shows that manipulatives improve comprehension by 25–30% in early stages of learning [5, p. 134].

Second, representational tools such as diagrams, charts, and visual models facilitate the transition from concrete to abstract thinking. According to empirical studies, visual representations increase retention rates by up to 40% [6, p. 78].

Third, symbolic learning enables students to generalize knowledge and apply it in problem-solving contexts. Students trained under MRT frameworks demonstrate higher performance in standardized assessments compared to peers in traditional classrooms [7, p. 56].

Additionally, MRT-based instruction supports differentiated learning by accommodating diverse cognitive abilities, thereby reducing achievement gaps among students [8, p. 211]

Analysis and Discussion

The Material–Representational–Symbolic (MRT) approach constitutes a pedagogically grounded framework that reflects the fundamental principles of constructivist learning theory and cognitive developmental psychology. Its effectiveness in developing subject-specific competencies among 5th–6th grade students can be understood through a multidimensional analysis that integrates theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and classroom-based practices. At its core, MRT operationalizes the transition from concrete to abstract cognition, which corresponds directly to the developmental stage identified by Jean Piaget as the "concrete operational stage," typically observed in learners aged 7–11, with gradual progression toward formal operational thinking [2, p. 49].

The material phase of the MRT approach emphasizes hands-on interaction with tangible objects, allowing learners to construct meaning through direct sensory experience. This aligns with Piaget's assertion that knowledge is actively constructed through interaction with the environment rather than passively received [2, p. 51]. Empirical studies confirm that students who engage in manipulative-based learning demonstrate stronger conceptual understanding, particularly in mathematics and science domains, where abstract concepts often pose challenges [5, p. 135]. For example, the use of physical models in teaching fractions or geometric shapes enables students to visualize relationships and internalize concepts that would otherwise remain abstract and inaccessible.

Transitioning from the material stage to the representational stage, MRT introduces visual and schematic forms such as diagrams, graphs, and symbolic drawings. This stage serves as a cognitive bridge, facilitating the gradual abstraction of knowledge. According to research in multimedia learning conducted by Richard E. Mayer, visual representations enhance cognitive processing by reducing cognitive load and enabling dual-channel processing of information [6, p. 80]. This is particularly significant for 5th–6th grade students, whose working memory capacities are still developing. By converting concrete experiences into visual schemas, learners can organize information more efficiently and establish meaningful connections between concepts.

The symbolic stage represents the highest level of abstraction within the MRT framework, where learners engage with formal symbols, language, and mathematical notation. At this stage, students are expected to generalize their knowledge and apply it to novel situations. The success of this stage is contingent upon the effective scaffolding provided during the earlier phases. The concept of scaffolding, introduced by Lev Vygotsky, highlights the importance of guided support within the learner's zone of proximal development (ZPD) [4, p. 102]. MRT inherently incorporates this principle by structuring learning experiences in a sequential manner, ensuring that students are adequately prepared for each subsequent level of abstraction.

An important dimension of the MRT approach is its contribution to the development of higher-order thinking skills, including analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. By engaging students in a progressive learning process, MRT fosters critical thinking and problem-solving abilities. Research by the National Research Council indicates that learners who participate in inquiry-based and constructivist learning environments exhibit significantly improved reasoning skills compared to those in traditional, lecture-based settings [7, p. 58]. In this context, MRT can be viewed as an instructional strategy that operationalizes inquiry-based learning through structured progression.

Moreover, MRT supports metacognitive development by encouraging learners to reflect on their own thinking processes. Metacognition, defined as the awareness and regulation of one's cognitive activities, is a key component of competency-based education. According to studies in educational psychology, students who engage in metacognitive practices demonstrate improved academic performance and self-regulated learning skills [12, p. 43]. Within the MRT framework, reflection is facilitated through transitions between stages, as students must reinterpret their understanding at each level of abstraction. For instance, moving from a physical model to a diagram requires learners to consciously translate their knowledge, thereby reinforcing comprehension and promoting self-awareness.

Another critical aspect of MRT is its alignment with differentiated instruction. Classrooms are inherently heterogeneous, with students exhibiting varying levels of prior knowledge, learning styles, and cognitive abilities. The MRT approach accommodates this diversity by providing multiple entry points for learning. As noted by Carol Ann Tomlinson, differentiated instruction involves adapting teaching methods to meet the diverse needs of learners [8, p. 205]. MRT achieves this by integrating tactile, visual, and symbolic modes of learning, thereby catering to kinesthetic, visual, and analytical learners simultaneously. This multimodal approach not only enhances engagement but also reduces disparities in academic achievement.

The role of the teacher in implementing MRT is both facilitative and strategic. Teachers must design learning experiences that effectively transition students through the three stages while maintaining coherence and continuity. This requires a deep understanding of both subject matter and pedagogical principles. Jerome Bruner emphasized the importance of structured guidance and the spiral curriculum, where complex ideas are revisited at increasing levels of difficulty [10, p. 94]. MRT reflects this principle by enabling iterative learning, where concepts are reinforced and expanded across different representational forms.

Collaborative learning is another significant outcome of MRT-based instruction. Group activities involving manipulatives and visual representations encourage peer interaction and collective problem-solving. According to Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, learning is inherently a social process that is mediated through language and interaction [4, p. 110]. MRT facilitates such interactions by creating opportunities for students to articulate their understanding, negotiate meanings, and co-construct knowledge. This not only enhances cognitive development but also fosters communication and interpersonal skills, which are essential components of subject competencies.

Despite its numerous advantages, the implementation of MRT is not without challenges. One of the primary limitations is the requirement for adequate instructional resources, including manipulatives, visual aids, and technological tools. In resource-constrained educational settings, the availability of such materials may be limited, thereby hindering the effective application of MRT. Additionally, the successful adoption of this approach necessitates comprehensive teacher training. Studies by Linda Darling-Hammond highlight that teacher effectiveness is closely linked to the quality of professional development programs [11, p. 60]. Without sufficient training, teachers may struggle to design and implement MRT-based lessons effectively.

Another challenge lies in the assessment of learning outcomes within the MRT framework. Traditional assessment methods, which غالبًا emphasize rote memorization and procedural knowledge, may not adequately capture the depth of understanding विकसित through MRT. Therefore, there is a need for alternative assessment strategies that align with competency-based education, such as performance-based assessments, portfolios, and formative evaluations. These methods provide a more comprehensive picture of student learning and allow educators to assess both process and outcome.

Furthermore, the integration of MRT into existing curricula requires careful planning and alignment with educational standards. Curriculum designers must ensure that learning objectives, instructional methods, and assessment practices are coherently aligned with the principles of MRT. This involves revising existing materials, developing new instructional resources, and establishing guidelines for implementation. Policy support and institutional commitment are also crucial for the successful integration of MRT into educational systems.

From a broader perspective, the MRT approach contributes to the development of lifelong learning skills. By emphasizing understanding over memorization and encouraging active engagement, MRT prepares students to adapt to changing knowledge environments. In the context of the 21st century, where information is rapidly evolving, the ability to learn, unlearn, and relearn is essential. MRT equips students with the cognitive tools necessary to navigate complex problems, think critically, and apply knowledge in real-world contexts.

Conclusion

The Material–Representational–Symbolic (MRT) approach provides a scientifically grounded framework for developing subject competencies in 5th–6th grade students. By aligning with cognitive development stages and constructivist principles, MRT enhances conceptual understanding, retention, and application of knowledge.

The findings confirm that MRT-based instruction significantly improves learning outcomes and supports inclusive education. To maximize its effectiveness, educational institutions should integrate MRT into curricula, provide teacher training, and ensure access to appropriate learning materials.

References:

1.OECD. The Definition and Selection of Key Competencies. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2005, pp. 12–20.
2.Piaget, J. The Psychology of the Child. New York: Basic Books, 1969, pp. 45–52.
3.Bruner, J. Toward a Theory of Instruction. Harvard University Press, 1966, pp. 85–92.
4.Vygotsky, L.S. Mind in Society. Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978, pp. 100–112.
5.Hattie, J. Visible Learning. Routledge, 2009, pp. 130–140.
6.Mayer, R.E. Multimedia Learning. Cambridge University Press, 2001, pp. 75–85.
7.National Research Council. How People Learn. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, 2000, pp. 50–60.
8.Tomlinson, C.A. The Differentiated Classroom. ASCD, 2014, pp. 200–215.
9.NCTM. Principles and Standards for School Mathematics. 2000, pp. 160–170.
10.Bruner, J. The Process of Education. Harvard University Press, 1960, pp. 90–100.
11.Darling-Hammond, L. Powerful Teacher Education. Jossey-Bass, 2006, pp. 55–65.
12.Sweller, J. Cognitive Load Theory. Springer, 2011, pp. 40–55