



GREEK-BACTRIAN AND CENTRAL ASIAN CULTURAL RELATIONS IN THE HELLENISTIC PERIOD: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BASED ON ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND WRITTEN SOURCES

Hasanova Sarvara

Fergana State University

E-mail: hasanovasarvara6@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21623007>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 23rd July 2026

Accepted: 25th July 2026

Online: 27th July 2026

KEYWORDS

Hellenistic period, Greco-Bactrian kingdom, Ai-Khanoum, Takht-i Sangin, Diodotus, Euthydemus, Central Asia, cultural synthesis

ABSTRACT

This article examines the cultural relations between the Greco-Bactrian kingdom and the broader Central Asian world during the Hellenistic period (late 4th–2nd centuries BC) through a comparative analysis of written and archaeological sources. The study traces the political formation of Bactrian independence under Diodotus I, the consolidation of the Euthydemid dynasty, and the confrontation with the Seleucid Empire during the siege of Bactra (208–206 BC). Drawing on the excavations at Ai-Khanoum and Takht-i Sangin, the article demonstrates that Greek urban institutions, religious cults, and coinage were adapted to and synthesized with local Bactrian and Iranian traditions, producing a distinct hybrid civilization at the eastern edge of the Hellenistic world. The findings show that Hellenization in Central Asia was not a one-directional process of cultural transfer but a reciprocal synthesis reflected equally in architecture, religion, and material culture.

The Hellenistic period, which began with Alexander the Great's eastern campaigns (334–323 BC) and the subsequent fragmentation of his empire among his successors, opened a new chapter in the historical-cultural relations between the Greek world and Central Asia [10.7]. Among the regions most deeply transformed by this process was Bactria, situated between the Amu Darya (Oxus) and the Hindu Kush, which by the mid-3rd century BC had become the center of an independent Greek kingdom, later described by ancient authors as the land "of a thousand cities" [25.517]. A comparative study of Greek-Bactrian relations is of particular scholarly interest because it allows historians to trace, through both written testimony and material remains, how a colonizing Hellenic elite and an established Central Asian population negotiated a shared political and cultural space over nearly two centuries [13.211]. This article aims to reconstruct that process chronologically, from the Diodotid secession from the Seleucid Empire around 250 BC to the collapse of the Greco-Bactrian kingdom under nomadic pressure around 145 BC, comparing the evidence of Greek historians such as Strabo, Polybius, and Justin with the archaeological record uncovered at Ai-Khanoum and Takht-i Sangin [24.156].

The historiography of Greek-Bactrian relations rests on two complementary bodies of evidence. The first consists of fragmentary classical accounts: Strabo's "Geographica" (Book XI) preserves the geographical framework of Bactria and Sogdiana and records the kingdom's territorial extent under Euthydemus [20.523]. Polybius's "Historiae" (Books X–XI), written by a near-contemporary historian, offers the only detailed narrative of the siege of Bactra [15.88]. Justin's epitome of Pompeius Trogus's "Historiae Philippicae" (Book XLI) supplies the dynastic outline of the Diodotid and Euthydemid rulers [9.41]. Diodorus Siculus provides scattered references to the eastern satrapies after Alexander's death [5.310]. The second body of evidence is archaeological, and it has expanded dramatically since the mid-twentieth century. The French Archaeological Delegation in Afghanistan (DAFA), under Daniel Schlumberger [18.45] and later Paul Bernard, excavated the city of Ai-Khanoum between 1964 and 1978, uncovering a nearly complete Hellenistic urban plan [2.15]. Since the 1970s, Soviet and subsequently Tajik-French expeditions led by B.A. Litvinsky and I.R. Pichikyan investigated the temple complex of Takht-i Sangin on the right bank of the Oxus [11.147]. Later investigations by the German Archaeological Institute further expanded our understanding of this religious complex [22.23] and its surrounding cultural landscape [23.150]. Modern synthetic studies by W.W. Tarn, F.L. Holt, R. Mairs, Sh.B. Shaydullayev, U.K. Kabalov, and the Uzbek historian E.V. Rtveladze have reassessed this material within a broader framework of Central Asian history. In particular, these studies analyze how local statehood unfolded across distinct historical stages [19.108]. Furthermore, they highlight the complex mechanisms of Hellenistic cultural synthesis that developed throughout the region [25.98]. The present study applies a historical-comparative method: written sources are first examined chronologically for each political phase, after which the corresponding archaeological evidence is analyzed and set against the textual narrative to identify points of confirmation, contradiction, or elaboration.

The political origins of Greek Bactria lie in the gradual weakening of Seleucid authority in the eastern satrapies. According to Justin, Diodotus, the Seleucid satrap of Bactria, revolted against Antiochus II around 250 BC and assumed the royal title, thereby founding an independent Greek kingdom in Central Asia [9.42]. His successor, Diodotus II, briefly allied with the Parthian Arsaces before being overthrown around 230–220 BC by Euthydemus, a Greek from Magnesia who, according to Polybius, had served as satrap of Sogdiana [15.91]. Euthydemus extended Bactrian control northward beyond the Iaxartes (Syr Darya) as far as the vicinity of Alexandria Eschate, the city Alexander had founded in Ferghana, thereby placing the whole of Sogdiana under a single Greek administration for the first time since Alexander's original conquest [7.187]. This expansion brought the kingdom into direct confrontation with the Seleucid Empire when, in 208 BC, Antiochus III launched a campaign to restore Seleucid authority in the east. After defeating Euthydemus's cavalry at the Battle of the Arius, Antiochus besieged the Bactrian capital, Bactra, for approximately two to three years [15.201]. Polybius, who ranked this siege alongside the sieges of Tarentum and Carthage in historical significance, records that Euthydemus justified his rule to Antiochus's envoy Teleas by arguing that he had not rebelled against the Seleucid crown but had merely overthrown the earlier usurper Diodotus, and further warned that continued warfare would leave both kingdoms exposed to nomadic incursions from the steppe [15.204]. This argument,

combining dynastic legitimation with a shared concern for frontier security, persuaded Antiochus to lift the siege around 206 BC and conclude a treaty recognizing Euthydemus as king; the alliance was sealed by the marriage of Antiochus's daughter to Euthydemus's son and heir, Demetrius, in exchange for a gift of Indian war elephants [25.145].

The material culture of the Greco-Bactrian kingdom is nowhere better illustrated than at Ai-Khanoum, located at the confluence of the Amu Darya and the Kokcha River in present-day Takhar Province, Afghanistan. Rediscovered in 1961 and excavated by Paul Bernard's team from 1964 to 1978, the city was probably founded between 300 and 285 BC under Seleucus I Nicator or Antiochus I Soter [2.45]. However, local tradition, recorded by later Greek geographers, attributed its foundation to a certain Kineas of Thessaly, whose memorial shrine, the Heroon of Kineas, stood at the center of the lower city [3.83]. This modest mud-brick sanctuary bore an inscription reproducing part of the Delphic maxims, explicitly attributed to a certain Clearchus, plausibly identifiable with Clearchus of Soli, a philosopher of the Peripatetic school, who is said to have copied the maxims directly from the sanctuary of Apollo at Delphi and transported them to the far edge of the Greek world [3.87]. The city's monumental core, excavated over more than two decades, included a palace complex, a gymnasium, a theater capable of seating roughly six thousand spectators, an arsenal, and a treasury [16.45]. It also featured a temple with indented niches whose cult statue combined Greek Zeus iconography with a votive disc depicting Cybele and Nike, representing a striking visual synthesis of Hellenic and Near Eastern religious imagery [6.112]. Ai-Khanoum reached the height of its prosperity under Eucratides I in the early second century BC, who is credited with major building projects including the palace and gymnasium [8.12]. However, the city was destroyed and abandoned around 145 BC, apparently in the course of nomadic invasions—associated by most scholars with the Saka and subsequently the Yuezhi tribes—that brought the Greco-Bactrian kingdom to its end [21.63].

A second major archaeological complex, the temple of the Oxus at Takht-i Sangin on the right bank of the Amu Darya in southern Tajikistan, provides direct evidence for the religious dimension of Greek-Bactrian cultural synthesis. Excavated initially by B.A. Litvinsky and I.R. Pichikyan and subsequently by German and French-Tajik teams, the sanctuary was founded around 300 BC, in the early Seleucid period, and remained in use into the Kushan era of the third century AD [22.78]. Its identification as a temple dedicated to the river-god Oxus rests on a Greek votive inscription found in one of its corridors, in which a dedicant bearing the Iranian name Atrosokes declares that he has fulfilled a vow to Oxus [11.152]. The inscribed object itself is a small bronze statuette in the Hellenistic style, representing the Greek satyr Marsyas playing the double flute [23.203]. Excavators have also recovered a large stone perirrhanterion (ritual water basin) closely paralleled by an example from Ai-Khanoum's own temple, along with molds and bronze vessels bearing further Greek inscriptions, including one naming an official titled in Bactrian as "keeper of the seal" [22.81]. Such finds demonstrate that Greek religious vocabulary and artistic forms were not simply imposed upon Bactria but were actively adopted by the local population to express devotion within their own pre-existing cultic framework, a pattern of convergence rather than replacement.

The numismatic record offers a further, quantifiable dimension of this cultural interaction. Greco-Bactrian coinage followed Attic weight standards and Greek iconographic

conventions—royal portraiture on the obverse, Heracles or Apollo on the reverse of Euthydemus's tetradrachms [4.212]. By the reign of Agathocles (c. 190–180 BC), the mints of Bactria had begun issuing bilingual coins bearing legends in both Greek and Brahmi script, incorporating Buddhist symbols alongside Hindu and other Indian deities, which reflected the kingdom's expansion into northwestern India under Demetrius I [14.318]. This numismatic bilingualism, unparalleled elsewhere in the Hellenistic world at this scale, illustrates that the eastern Hellenistic kingdoms were not isolated transplants of Mediterranean civilization but dynamic frontier societies that actively incorporated South and Central Asian visual and linguistic traditions into their central instruments of state authority [24.56]. Equipment for minting coins has itself been unearthed at Ai-Khanoum, confirming that the city functioned as an active economic as well as a political and religious center of the kingdom [2.52].

Comparing the written and archaeological evidence reveals a coherent, if geographically uneven, pattern of Greek-Central Asian synthesis. Politically, Bactria under the Diodotids and Euthydemids retained the external forms of a Greek monarchy—royal cult, Greek titulature, dynastic succession legitimated through Hellenistic diplomatic conventions such as marriage alliances—while functioning as a genuinely autonomous Central Asian state whose survival depended on negotiating, rather than simply resisting, both Seleucid power to the west and nomadic pressure from the steppe to the north, as Euthydemus's own argument to Antiochus III makes explicit [10.44]. Architecturally and religiously, sites such as Ai-Khanoum and Takht-i Sangin show that Greek civic institutions (the gymnasium, the theater, the heroon) and Greek religious forms (votive inscriptions, Hellenistic statuary) were reproduced with remarkable fidelity to Mediterranean models, yet were consistently redirected toward local ends [18.121]. This stands in contrast to the neighboring Chorasmanian kingdom on the lower Oxus, which, having preserved its political independence from Alexander's conquest through diplomacy, absorbed Hellenistic material culture only indirectly and selectively, chiefly through numismatic imitation and Kushan-period sculpture, without ever hosting Greek civic institutions of the Ai-Khanoum type [19.163]. The Greco-Bactrian case thus represents the most intensive and complete instance of Hellenization in Central Asia [13.198]. This process was defined by complex identity negotiations between Greeks and locals in the Far East [12.34]. Furthermore, it combined direct Greek settlement and political rule [17.45] with sustained, centuries-long proximity to indigenous Bactrian, Sogdian, and Iranian populations [1.58].

In conclusion, the comparative analysis of classical written sources and the archaeological remains of Ai-Khanoum and Takht-i Sangin demonstrates that Greek-Bactrian and Central Asian cultural relations during the Hellenistic period developed through a continuous dialectic of political independence, military confrontation, and cultural synthesis rather than through unilateral cultural imposition [10.45]. From Diodotus's secession around 250 BC, through Euthydemus's successful defense of Bactrian sovereignty during the siege of Bactra in 208–206 BC, to the flourishing bilingual, bicultural coinage and religious art of the second century BC, the Greco-Bactrian kingdom produced a genuinely hybrid civilization at the easternmost frontier of the Hellenistic world. Indeed, Greco-Bactrian artistic and religious conventions continued to shape the visual culture of Kushan and post-Hellenistic Central Asia for centuries afterward [25.267]. This makes the Greek-Bactrian encounter an indispensable

case study for understanding the broader historical relationship between the Mediterranean world and Central Asia in

References:

1. Berdinazarov, N. Za anyeverskiy sintez kul'tury Ellinisticheskoy Baktrii. *Izvestiya Akademii nauk Respubliki Uzbekistan*, №2, 2018, 55–61-b.
2. Bernard, P. Excavations at Ai Khanoum I: 1965–1968 Campaigns. Reports of the French Archaeological Delegation in Afghanistan (MDAFA), Vol. 21. Paris: Klincksieck, 1973. — 252 p.
3. Bernard, P. The Greek Colony at Ai Khanoum in Afghanistan. In: *In the Footsteps of Alexander the Great*, Berkeley: University of California Press, 1997, pp. 81–98.
4. Bopearachchi, O. *Greco-Bactrian and Indo-Greek Coins: A Catalogue Raisonné*. Paris: Bibliothèque Nationale, 1991. — 484 p.
5. Diodorus Siculus. *Library of History (Books XVIII–XX)*. Translated by R. M. Geer. Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1947. — 480 p.
6. Guillaume, O. Analysis of the Archaeological Collections from Ai Khanoum. *Memoir of the French Archaeological Delegation in Afghanistan*. Paris: Recherche sur les Civilisations, 1983. — 140 p.
7. Holt, F. L. *Into the Land of Bones: Alexander the Great in Afghanistan*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2005. — 258 p.
8. Holt, F. L. *Thundering Zeus: The Making of Hellenistic Bactria*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1999. — 230 p.
9. Justin (Marcus Junianus Justinus). *Epitome of the Philippic History of Pompeius Trogus (Book XLI)*. Translated by J. C. Yardley. Atlanta: Scholars Press, 1994. — 384 p.
10. Kabalov, U. K. Grek-Baqtriya podsholigi tarixi va uning O'rta Osiyo madaniyatidagi o'rni. *O'zbekistonda ijtimoiy fanlar*, №3, 2011, 42–48-b.
11. Litvinsky, B. A., & Pichikyan, I. R. The Temple of the Oxus. *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 1991, pp. 147–163.
12. Mairs, R. (Ed.). *The Graeco-Bactrian and Indo-Greek World*. London & New York: Routledge, 2020. — 704 p.
13. Mairs, R. *The Hellenistic Far East: Archaeology, Language, and Identity in Greek Central Asia*. Berkeley: University of California Press, 2014. — 240 p.
14. Mitchiner, M. *Indo-Greek and Indo-Scythian Coinage (Vols. 1–9)*. London: Hawkins Publications, 1975–1976. — 924 p.
15. Polybius. *The Histories (Books X–XI)*. Translated by W. R. Paton. Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011. — 560 p.
16. Rapin, C. Excavations at Ai Khanoum VIII: The Treasury of the Hellenistic Palace at Ai Khanoum. Paris: De Boccard, 1992. — 412 p.
17. Sagdullayev, A. S. *Qadimgi O'zbekiston tarixi sivilizatsiyalar chorrahasida*. Toshkent: O'zbekiston, 2015. — 320 b.
18. Schlumberger, D. *The Hellenized Orient: Greek Art and Its Transformations in Non-Mediterranean Asia*. Paris: Albin Michel, 1969. — 288 p.
19. Shaydullayev, Sh. B. *O'zbekiston hududida davlatchilikning paydo bo'lishi va rivojlanish bosqichlari*. Toshkent: Fan, 2009. — 208 b.

20. Strabo. Geography (Book XI). Translated by H. L. Jones. Loeb Classical Library. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1928. — 496 p.
21. Tarn, W. W. The Greeks in Bactria and India. 3rd Edition. Chicago: Ares Publishers, 1985. — 600 p.
22. Литвинский, Б. А., Пичилян, И. Р. Эллинистический храм Окса в Бактрии (Южный Таджикистан). Том 1: Избранные предметы искусства и ремесла. Москва: Восточная литература, 2000. — 504 с.
23. Пичилян, И. Р. Культура Бактрии: Ахеменидский и эллинистический периоды. Москва: Наука, 1991. — 344 с.
24. Ртвеладзе, Э. В. Древние монеты Средней Азии. Ташкент: Издательство литературы и искусства им. Гафура Гуляма, 1987. — 184 с.
25. Ртвеладзе, Э. В. Цивилизация древней Бактрии: культура, религия, экономика. Ташкент: Фан, 2002. — 416 с.

