MODERN WASTE PROCESSING TECHNOLOGIES

Kobulova Barno Bakhriddin kizi
Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) in Biological Sciences, Associate Professor of Industrial Ecology and Hydrology Department of Bukhara State Technical University
Sodikova Zarina Orifovna
Bukhara State Technical University, 1st year master's student, Faculty of Ecology
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18020201

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 20th December 2025
Accepted: 21st December 2025
Online: 22nd December 2025

KEYWORDS

waste recycling, circular economy, mechanical recycling, biological recycling, thermal recycling, chemical recycling, environmental sustainability.

ABSTRACT

Waste generation has become a significant environmental challenge in the 21st century due to rapid industrialization, urbanization, and increased consumption. Traditional waste management methods, such as landfilling, are unsustainable and lead to environmental degradation. As a result, waste recycling technologies have emerged as key solutions to reduce waste, conserve resources, and mitigate environmental impact. This thesis explores modern recycling technologies, including mechanical, biological, chemical, and thermal methods, and assesses their environmental and economic effectiveness. The study finds that mechanical and biological recycling methods are highly efficient for material recovery and energy production, while chemical and thermal technologies offer solutions for complex or non-recyclable waste. An integrated approach that combines these technologies proves to be the most effective strategy for sustainable waste management. The paper concludes that the development and implementation of modern recycling technologies are crucial for promoting a circular economy, reducing waste to landfill, and contributing to global sustainability goals

Introduction

Waste generation has become one of the most pressing environmental issues of the 21st century. As the global population grows and industrialization accelerates, waste production continues to rise. According to the United Nations, over 2 billion tons of solid waste are generated annually, with a significant portion ending up in landfills, leading to environmental degradation, soil contamination, and the emission of greenhouse gases. Traditional methods of waste disposal, such as landfilling, not only waste valuable resources but also create long-term ecological risks.

In response, the recycling of waste has gained global recognition as a critical strategy to mitigate environmental pollution, conserve natural resources, and foster sustainable development. Modern recycling technologies provide opportunities for waste to be turned into valuable secondary raw materials, energy, and products. The primary aim of this study is to evaluate and compare the environmental and economic impacts of modern recycling technologies and identify the most efficient methods for various waste types.

Materials and methods

This research follows a qualitative and analytical approach, which includes a comprehensive review of scientific literature, reports from international organizations, and technical documents related to waste recycling. The study employs the following methods:

1.Systematic literature review: Analysis of academic papers, books, and international reports to understand the current state of recycling technologies.

2.Comparative analysis: Evaluation and comparison of different recycling technologies based on their efficiency, environmental impact, and economic feasibility.

3.Environmental and economic impact assessment: Assessment of the efficiency of each recycling method in terms of resource recovery, energy generation, and reduction of waste.

The focus of this study is on mechanical, biological, chemical, and thermal recycling technologies, as these are the most widely used and promising approaches in modern waste management systems.

Results

Mechanical Recycling. Mechanical recycling is the most common method used for the recovery of materials such as plastics, glass, paper, and metals. This process involves the physical separation and reprocessing of waste materials into new products. It is a cost-effective and efficient method for materials that can be easily recycled without undergoing chemical changes. However, it is limited to certain types of waste and requires an efficient sorting process to ensure high-quality outputs.

A) **Efficiency**: Mechanical recycling can recover up to 60-80% of recyclable materials, depending on the type and quality of waste.

B) **Environmental Impact**: It significantly reduces the need for virgin raw materials and lowers greenhouse gas emissions.

Biological Recycling. Biological recycling, including composting and anaerobic digestion, is mainly used for organic waste, such as food scraps and agricultural residues. These processes break down organic matter into compost, biogas, and organic fertilizers. Biological recycling is particularly valuable in reducing the volume of organic waste in landfills and producing renewable energy in the form of biogas.

A) **Efficiency**: Biological processes can divert up to 50-70% of organic waste from landfills.

B) **Environmental Impact**: It promotes soil health, reduces landfill emissions, and produces renewable energy.

Chemical Recycling. Chemical recycling is used for complex or contaminated plastics that cannot be efficiently recycled through mechanical methods. This process involves breaking down polymers into their basic chemical components, which can then be repurposed into new materials or used as feedstock for chemical production. Common methods include pyrolysis, depolymerization, and hydrolysis.

A) **Efficiency**: Chemical recycling can handle a wider variety of plastics and is particularly useful for low-quality or mixed plastic waste.

B) **Environmental Impact**: Although it can reduce the environmental impact of plastic waste, chemical recycling is more energy-intensive than mechanical methods.

Thermal Recycling. Thermal recycling methods, such as incineration, gasification, and pyrolysis, involve heating waste materials to high temperatures to convert them into energy (heat or electricity). These methods are especially useful for mixed or non-recyclable waste streams, as they provide an alternative to landfilling while generating energy.

A) **Efficiency**: Thermal processes can recover up to 70% of energy from waste, depending on the technology used.

B) **Environmental Impact**: Thermal recycling reduces waste volumes significantly and generates energy, but it can release pollutants if not properly controlled.

Table 1. Comparative Characteristics of Waste Recycling Technologies

Technology Type	Waste Type	Final Product	Efficiency	Environmental Impact
Mechanical	Plastics, paper, glass, metals	Secondary raw materials	High	Low carbon footprint, resource conservation
Biological	Organic waste	Biogas, compost	High	Reduced landfill emissions, soil enrichment
Chemical	Complex plastics	Fuel, raw materials	Medium	Energy-intensive, reduced plastic waste
Thermal	Mixed waste	Electricity, heat	Medium–High	Energy recovery, pollution control needed

Energy recovery efficiency of thermal recycling methods. In this diagram, we see the efficiency of energy recovery through various thermal recycling processes. The highest energy recovery rates are seen in incineration and gasification, making these methods particularly useful in energy-scarce regions.

Discussion

The findings of this study indicate that no single recycling technology is suitable for all types of waste. The efficiency and environmental impact of each method depend on the nature of the waste, the available infrastructure, and economic considerations. Mechanical and biological recycling are the most environmentally friendly and cost-effective methods for handling specific types of waste, such as metals, paper, and organic waste. However, these methods are less effective for complex or mixed waste streams.

Chemical and thermal recycling technologies, while more energy-intensive, provide valuable solutions for waste streams that cannot be processed through mechanical or biological methods. Chemical recycling, for instance, can handle complex plastic waste, and thermal methods offer the possibility of energy recovery from mixed waste.

A key factor in the success of any recycling system is the implementation of effective waste sorting at the source. Public awareness and education about the importance of waste separation are critical for improving the efficiency of recycling systems.

Conclusion

The study concludes that modern recycling technologies are crucial for addressing the global waste crisis. Mechanical, biological, chemical, and thermal recycling each have their unique advantages, and the integration of these technologies offers the most efficient way to manage waste. Future research should focus on improving the efficiency of chemical and thermal processes, while also promoting waste separation at the source and increasing public participation in recycling efforts.

References:

1.Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Science Advances, 3(7), e1700782.

2.European Commission. (2020). The European Green Deal. European Commission Communication.

3.United Nations Environment Programme. (2018). Single-use plastics: A roadmap for sustainability. UNEP Report.

4.Ragaert, K., Delva, L., & Van Geem, K. M. (2017). Mechanical recycling of thermoplastic polymers: State of the art and future prospects. Waste Management, 69, 24-58.

5.United Nations. (2019). Global Environment Outlook (GEO-6). United Nations Environmental Programme.