

THE PROCESS OF INTEGRATION MECHANISMS, WHICH CHANGE INSTITUTIONAL ETNOMADANITY

Ilkhomjon Ikromjonovich Khudayarov

"University of business and science" teacher of the
department of social sciences

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17837121>



ARTICLE INFO

Received: 27th November 2025

Accepted: 28th November 2025

Online: 29th November 2025

KEYWORDS

Cultural integration, the integration etnomadaniy subject plenty of evolution, compatibility, transformasiya, soft cultural integration.

ABSTRACT

In this article, the integration process in the context of globalization etnomadaniy to be manifested in the life of migrant features, cultural integration, and evolution analysis of the relationship between subjective wellbeing fits, however, the soft migrant integration strategies, acceptance of cultural differences and cultural institutions through cooperation with subjective well-being was considered to be growing to serve.

Etnomadaniy in the integration process in the context of globalization and the changes of objective and subjective've migration of qonuniyat was moderated by the sauce-feature in the religion of evolution of the fit, that the relationship between subjective wellbeing and integration etnomadaniy affiliated mo" in the form of tadillashtiruvchi regulator the effects of factors have been vigorous. When viewed in this context, you are at the individual level cultural and creative confidence was high, the cultural integration of subjective well-being has a positive effect and this effect determines that the cooperation between direct and stable.

Basically, the confrontation between cultural differences and norms in civilized society for many migrant certain challenges will arise. In cases like this, a few at a time to receive migrant groups will try to establish effective communication with various social and cultural environment. Not only for them etnomadaniy adaptasiya integration tool, but also your own personal identikligini the formation and re-creates both the opportunity to participate in society as active social subjects.

Dominant migrant adaptation to the environment, cultural integration of their research work in the field a number of scientists conducts the process of calving, then the migrant besides adapted the culture of social-psychological and sosiologik approach on the basis of the analysis made. Canadians are the berries of J. sosiologik akkultursiya model¹ of the mutual influence between different cultures and understand the process of adaptation to a new society migrant births per 4 basic kontsepsiyan will push. The same time Sosiologik **how to combine the whole of society as a system that would stand Emil Dyurkgeym also explained in a scientific way. Of different groups in society are mutually equal, hamjixat a partner, and be united together and live without the active management have to**

¹ *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 29, 697–712

know that the model put forward integration social. Emil Durkgeym the following five components integration model showing last social²:

first – unit and value of social rules;

the second– social partnership and cooperation;

third – social justice and equal rights;

fourth – social identisiyatsiya;

fifth – institutions (family, school, state)role.

This model of stability in the society of migrant kontsepsiya quotes, equality, trust, solidarity and ensuring development is a key indicator.

In this sense, the evolution of the process of cultural integration, you will develop the ability to fit the individual, that is, adapted to the new cultural environment, not only of man, but it becomes an active participant in the social and psychological. This condition, in turn, strengthen the relationship between subjective wellbeing and in this process of cultural integration and cultural factors internal mobilik the importance of social relations to be creative at a high level, and will increase further.

According to the survey results, socio-cultural consequences of the unstable period of the life of migrant transformasiya to get into, and also aiming to keep their life experiences and cultural beliefs will lead them to change.³ In this process, the evolution of the migrant to prepare, for example, the ability to adapt to their new social environment and has a negative effect on adaptasiya. The migrant group who have a different culture to understand the cultural aspects of the original culture of the host country and manners, religion and other cultural assumptions must change. Policy, as opposed to this approach, some studies migrant analysis of subjective well-being affect the psychological costs also will require⁴. Etnomadaniy deal akkulturasia cultural approach in the process of integration levels, at a time of cultural diversification that would not be available in the society and their difference can be observed. Also, socio-cultural adaptation of migrant and permanent transformasiya fitness to cover the environment as a result of the process of migrant integration and etnomadaniy adaptasiya who is familiar with this condition compared to the share be adapted to necessary that evolution produces. The specific features likely selection for the evolution of evolution, namely, the manners fenotip is also available at the maximum level of migrant their national-cultural adaptation will be able to maintain and develop the characteristics of transformasiya.⁵

Cultural evolution cultural integration and compatibility between the migrant and collect their resources in determining how the relationship konfigurasiya gives them the opportunity to choose in different situations. The escalation of the evolution of migrant adaptation process, because it will briefly comment transformasiyaga adapted to select the

² Emile Durkheim // The Rules of Sociological Method. THE FREE PRESS New York London Toronto Sydney. P. – 178-180.

³ A.Swidler,(2008) A. Culture in action: Symbols and strategies. *American Sociological Review*, 51(2), 273–286. A Swidler,. (2001). *Talk of Love: How Culture Matters* (p. 2001). University of Chicago Press.

⁴ Bak-Klimek, A., Karatzias, T., Elliott, L., & MacLean, R. (2018). The determinants of well-being among polish economic immigrants. 19(6), 1565–1588; Hendriks, M., & Burger, M. J. (2020). Unsuccessful subjective well-being assimilation among immigrants: The role of faltering perceptions of the host society. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(6), 1985–2006.

⁵ Vaisey, S. (2019). *From Contradiction to Coherence: Theory-Building in the Sociology of Culture*. Duke University.

features that are acceptable in a changing environment, to create new identiklikni adaptasiya amplituda is a common form of choice in action.

According to the analysis of migrant sex, age, education level, marital status and the quality of housing, such as demographic and socio-economic characteristics, mainly in higher income and education-cultural integration is an important determinant of subjective well-being components and have the most positive shows.

Etnomadaniy integration of personal values and common social norms in the development of the model've evolution be taken in the process and also increases the activity of the business geterogen between groups at the same time to strengthen the unity of the state and the competitive diversifikasiyalashgan institusional will be the basis of the image components⁶.

However, empirical studies also both conceptual analysis of migrant cultural integration constant which is contrary to the logic challenges to be faced with ko'zatilmoqda. Migrant social, cultural, psychological and economic life in the process transformasiyalashuvi of the model show that yes, the personal interests of the migrant and the sense of self is an important factor in their mean emosional distresslari on the main level⁷. In the economic model transformasiyalar perspective taking as the increase of income or wealth achieved the expected results in most cases, even if the migrant of subjective well-beingon a logical basis is no.

As it is known, the issue of migration, income growth slightly because they contain parts of the track, psychological stress is one of the most important events in the social life from the side, the cultural problems of subjective wellbeingcondition leads to the deterioration of the economic migrant to have a negative impact for some. The language of migrant barerlari strange ethical norms, including social, family and other support networks to the loss of as many as shrink while some are faced with stress factors.

At the same time cultural institute and the united submilliy of migrant groups, the incidence of ethnic or religious communities were going to soak in the social insulator because also produces.

Because cultural integration in the process of social-psychological pressure, cultural differences, language barriers and social conditions, degradation of subjective well-being will be the negative effects of⁸.

As a result, the soft flexible and adaptive approach in the integration of migrant remain tied to culture, while also produces the effects of this cultural konvertasiyaga apart from the capacity to achieve positive results. Innovative ideas to the society of the migrant group and the labor market had become more likely to make a valuable contribution to the development of, for the reason that their satisfaction, tolerance and ensure happiness, representing hope will serve to enhance the integration of the social culture to serve. They come strengthen the relationship between subjective wellbeing and cultural integration, as well as the effects of the

⁶ Wang, Z., Zhou, B., & Horsburgh, S. (2021). Cultural distance and cross-border replication of China Multinational Corporations. *Forum for Social Economics*, 50(4), 363–385.

⁷ Bisin, A., Verdier, T., Patacchini, E., & Zenou, Y. (2008). Are Muslim immigrants diferent in terms of cultural integration? *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 6(2/3), 445–456.

⁸ Hendriks, M., & Burger, M. J. (2020). Unsuccessful subjective wellbeing assimilation among immigrants: The role of faltering perceptions of the host society. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 21(6), 1985–2006.

mediator, the direct relationship of the essence of subjective prosperity be able to identiklikning subjective significance level is high when you've identiklikning cultural integration through the subjective essence of subjective well-being into the indirect effect is high.

It can be noted that conclude, cultural integration and cultural resources related to the interaction between subjective wellbeing of the migrant is the process of inner evolution. Migrant cultural confidence cultural integration as individual psychological resources mobilize creative high subjective well-being directly into positive and sustainable impact. This cultural integration is a social process've not only foreign, but also paired with the ability to fit the evolution of the migrant. Of the language barrier immigrant in the meantime, intolerance, and cultural differences in the cause of mutual the loss of social support networks, **and psychological and social stress** are facing. This process will lead to a decline in migrant subjective prosperity. However, **soft, adaptive strategies of integration** – cultural diversification to take collective resource to add to the cultural institute in cooperation with migrant and reduces the negative effects of stress in the wellbeing of the subject increases.

The list of used literature:

1. International journal of intercultural relations, 29, 697-712
2. Emil durkheim that // The sociological Metho Rule. New york London Toronto Sydney free PRESS. P. – 178-180.
3. Swidler, A., (2008) A. Culture in action: Symbols and strategies. American Sociological Review, 51(2), 273-286. Swidler A., (2001). Talk of Love: How culture matters (p. 2001). University of chicago press.
4. Bak-Klimek, A., Karatzias, T., Elliot, L., & MacLean, R. (2018). The determinant of well-being, not economic immigrants to its threshold amo. 19(6), 1565-1588; Hendriks Telling, M., & Burger, J. M. (2020). Unsuccessful subjective well-being assimilation of immigrant amo its not faltering rolan the perceptions of the host society. Journal of happiness studies, 21(6), 1985-2006.
5. Vaisey, S. (2019). From coherence in contradiction to Theo-rise building in the sociology of Culture. Unitary Enterprise State University.
6. Wang, Z., Zh, B., & Horsburgh, S. (2021). Cultural distance and cross-border China replication of the multinational corporation. For the social economic Forum, 50(4), 363-385.
7. Bis And A. Verdi Linear, T., Patacchini, E., & Zenon, Y. (2008). The cultural integration of muslim immigrant in the term are diferent? Journal of the european economic association, 6(2/3), 445-456.
8. Hendriks Telling, M., & Burger, J. M. (2020). Its not subjective wellbeing amo unsuccessful immigrant assimilation: rolan the faltering perceptions of the host society. Journal of happiness studies, 21(6), 1985-2006.