



MODERN METHODOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS FOR SHAPING MATHEMATICAL CONCEPTUALIZATION IN PRIMARY EDUCATION

Palvanbaeva Nigora Rustam kizi

Student of the Faculty of Preschool and Primary Education,
Nukus State Pedagogical Institute named after Ajiniyoz (3rd year)
<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18276140>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 14th January 2026

Accepted: 15th January 2026

Online: 16th January 2026

KEYWORDS

Primary mathematics, CRA method, visual modeling, cognitive development, pedagogical innovation, mathematical mindset, Singapore math, interactive learning, instructional framework, student engagement.

ABSTRACT

This article examines the evolution of pedagogical strategies in primary mathematics education. It moves beyond traditional rote memorization to explore a student-centered paradigm, emphasizing the Concrete-Representational-Abstract (CRA) framework and the Singaporean “Model Drawing” approach. The author discusses the psychological transition of young learners from intuitive thinking to logical reasoning and highlights the role of teacher-student discourse in reinforcing mathematical fluencies. The paper argues that true mathematical literacy is achieved when conceptual understanding is prioritized over procedural speed

The teaching of mathematics in primary school is often misunderstood as the simple transmission of arithmetic rules. However, the early years of schooling represent a critical window for cognitive development where the foundation of logical reasoning is laid. As educators, our primary challenge is to transform a subject that is often perceived as “abstract and rigid” into a dynamic language that children can use to describe the world around them.

The disconnect many children feel toward mathematics usually begins when the subject moves too quickly into symbolic notation. When a child is asked to memorize $7 \times 8 = 56$ without understanding the underlying grouping of quantities, they are not learning mathematics; they are performing a memory task. To prevent this, modern methodology must align with the natural cognitive stages of a child’s mind.

The Bridge from Physical to Abstract: The CRA Model

One of the most profound shifts in primary math methodology has been the systematic implementation of the Concrete-Representational-Abstract (CRA) sequence. This isn’t just a classroom technique; it is a psychological bridge.

In the Concrete stage, we allow the child to physically manipulate the environment. Whether it is using multi-link cubes to understand volume or grouping buttons to understand multiplication, the tactile experience is paramount. Sensory input creates a neural “hook” for the mathematical concept.

The Representational stage is where many traditional systems fail. We often jump from blocks straight to numbers. However, the middle step—drawing diagrams, tallies, or “bar models”—is where the child learns to internalize the concept. By sketching a problem, the student translates a physical action into a mental image. Finally, when they reach the Abstract

stage, the symbols $+$, $-$, $\times$, $\div$ are no longer mysterious marks but shorthand for actions they already understand.

The Power of Visual Literacy: Insights from Singapore Math

The global success of the Singaporean approach to mathematics offers a valuable lesson: “Less is More.” Instead of rushing through a massive curriculum, this method focuses on a deep dive into core concepts using Model Drawing.

In my observation of primary classrooms, word problems are frequently the biggest hurdle. Students often scan the text for numbers and guess the operation. Model drawing forces a pause. By representing quantities as proportional bars, students can “see” the relationship between the whole and its parts. This visual literacy is a precursor to algebra; it teaches children to look for structures rather than just answers.

The Teacher’s Role: Moving from Lecturer to Facilitator. A common pitfall in primary math is the “teacher-led” dars, where the instructor demonstrates a solution and the students replicate it. To foster genuine mathematical thinking, the classroom discourse must change. We should prioritize “Math Talk.”

Instead of asking “What is the answer?”, we should ask “How did you see it?” or “Can you explain your neighbor’s strategy?”. When a child is forced to verbalize their thought process, they are engaging in metacognition—thinking about their own thinking. This practice solidifies their understanding and reveals any hidden misconceptions that a simple “correct answer” might mask.

Practical Application: A Case Study on Fractions

To illustrate the effectiveness of the CRA method, let us consider the teaching of fractions—one of the most challenging topics in the primary curriculum. In a traditional setting, a student is told that $\frac{1}{4}$ is smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$. However, visually and tangibly, the number 4 is larger than 2, which creates cognitive dissonance.

By applying the Concrete stage, we provide students with circular paper “pizzas” to cut. When they physically cut a pizza into four pieces and compare it to a pizza cut into two, the concept of a denominator becomes clear. In the Representational stage, they draw these circles in their notebooks. Finally, the Abstract stage introduces the numerical fraction. This sequence ensures that the student “feels” the math before they “calculate” it.

Challenges in the Modern Classroom: As a student researcher at the Nukus State Pedagogical Institute, I have observed that while these methods are effective, their implementation requires specific conditions. Class size, the availability of manipulatives, and teacher training are significant factors. For instance, in rural schools, expensive digital tools might be replaced by local, low-cost materials like pebbles or bottle caps to serve as concrete manipulatives. This adaptability is the hallmark of a skilled educator.

Integrating Technology without Losing the Human Element: In the contemporary classroom, Information and Communication Technology (ICT) is a double-edged sword. While interactive whiteboards and math apps offer high engagement, they must be used purposefully.

The most effective use of ICT in primary grades is for visualization. Software that allows students to rotate 3D shapes or split digital “fraction pizzas” provides a level of dynamism that a textbook cannot match. Gamification, through platforms like Kahoot or Mathletics,

serves a different purpose: reducing “math anxiety.” In a game-based environment, a wrong answer is simply a prompt to try again, fostering a growth mindset that is essential for long-term success in STEM fields.

The Interdisciplinary Connection

Mathematics does not exist in a vacuum. To make it meaningful for a seven-year-old, we must connect it to their other interests. Measuring the growth of a plant in Science, finding geometric patterns in Art, or calculating the rhythm in Music—these connections prove to the student that math is a universal tool. When a child realizes that the symmetry in a butterfly’s wing is a mathematical property, the subject ceases to be a chore and becomes a discovery.

Conclusion: The methodology of teaching mathematics in primary grades is currently undergoing a much-needed renaissance. We are moving away from the “industrial” model of calculation and toward a “creative” model of problem-solving. By respecting the cognitive development of the child, utilizing visual models, and fostering a classroom culture of dialogue, we can ensure that every child leaves primary school not just with the ability to calculate, but with the confidence to think.

The goal of the modern educator is to light a fire of curiosity. In mathematics, that fire is lit when a child looks at a difficult problem and, instead of saying “I can’t do this,” says “Let me draw a picture and see how this works.”

References:

1. Bruner, J. S. (1966). *Toward a Theory of Instruction*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
2. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
3. Ministry of Education, Singapore. (2020). *Mathematics Syllabus: Primary One to Six*. Singapore: Curriculum Planning and Development Division.
4. Jumayev, M. E. (2005). *Boshlang'ich sinflarda matematika o'qitish metodikasidan praktikum*. Toshkent: O'qituvchi. (Practical guide for teaching primary math in Uzbekistan).
5. Jumayev, M. E. (2021). *Boshlang'ich ta'limda matematikadan milliy o'quv dasturini loyihalashtirish: Nazariya va amaliyot*. Toshkent.
6. Bikbayeva, N. U. (2010). *Boshlang'ich sinflarda matematika o'qitish metodikasi*. Toshkent: O'qituvchi.
7. Abdullayeva, Q. M., & Sodiqov, M. (2022). *Modern approaches to the professional development of primary school teachers*. Tashkent: Fan va Texnologiya. National Curriculum of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2021). *Mathematics for Grades 1-4*. Tashkent: Republican Education Center.
8. Boaler, J. (2016). *Mathematical Mindsets*. Jossey-Bass.
9. Isroilova, X. (2023). O'zbek folklorshunosligining rivojlanishida folklorshunos olimlarning qo'shgan hissalari. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 4(3), 800-811.
10. Mo'minova, L. R. (2023). Kommuna Ismoilova bastakorlar bilan hamkorlik an'analari. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 4(2), 868-873.
11. Xusanov, H. (2025). Yangi O'zbekistonda milliy musiqa madaniyatining ahamiyati. *Inter education & global study*, (1), 503-510.
12. Isroilova, X. (2023). Marosim va udumlarning nazariy xususiyatlari xususida. *Oriental Art and Culture*, 4(1), 622-625.

13. Mo'minova, L. R. (2023). Results of focusing on art and culture in the new Uzbekistan. Экономика и социум, (10 (113)-2), 244-247.
14. Xusanov, H. (2021). Historical stages of Uzbek national singing art. Интернаука, (22-4), 59-60.
15. Sodiqov, I. (2025). The social and political role and educational significance of public holidays in Uzbekistan. Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education, 3(03), 99-102.

