



THE ENERGETIC DIMENSIONS OF PSYCHOTHERAPEUTIC THERAPIST-CLIENT INTERACTION

Rakhimova Kh.K.

Senior Lecturer

Urgench State University named after abu Rayhan Beruni

E-mail: rahimova.hilola.78@gmail.com

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17520605>

ARTICLE INFO

Received: 29th October 2025

Accepted: 30th October 2025

Online: 31st October 2025

KEYWORDS

energetic regulation, energetic co-regulation, embodied intersubjectivity, affective exchange, autonomic nervous system dynamics, interpersonal synchrony, bioenergetic conceptualization, relational regulation.

ABSTRACT

The psychotherapeutic exchange is progressively turning into a context to examine the moment-to-moment adaptation of the embodied, relational, and bioenergetic dynamics that enable clinical transformation. Recent studies highlight the energetic aspects as key features of the autonomic nervous system dynamics, emotional exchange, and behavioral alignment. Viewed from this angle, the bioenergetic interactions are analyzed using intricate frameworks, revealing synchrony phenomena, physiological attunement, and expressive mirroring as the fundamental processes of interpersonal regulation and, ultimately, the effectiveness of therapy. Employing advanced techniques in evaluating and conceptualizing the interaction, the analysis model enhances earlier insights into therapeutic interaction, focusing not just on language and cognition, but also on the dynamic, embodied energy exchange occurring between therapist and client. The authors suggest that the co-regulation of energy and embodiment-founded intersubjectivity needs to be recognized as the main forces propelling relational complexity in therapy. Advancing, the subsequent concept in this domain is to enhance integrative, multi-modal strategies for energetic processes in clinical research and practice

Introduction.

Corresponding to Nyman-Salonen et al. (2022) and Molinero (2025) indicate that contemporary therapy communication is progressively regarded as a dynamic and interactive system composed of essential processes such as energetic dynamics and embodiment, which play a role in the regulation and co-regulation of emotional states between the therapist and the patient. The connection among the functions of the nervous system, emotional communication, and embodied tuning all play a role in the establishment of intersubjective resonance and mutual regulation, which subsequently influences how the therapist-client dyad operates as an open and self-regulating system (Porges, 2025; Tschacher, 2022).

Recent research indicates that the energetic and physiological synchronicity at the core of therapeutic interaction—which encompasses, but is not limited to, nonverbal signals, movement, and autonomic nervous system responses—plays a crucial role in the quality of therapeutic outcomes, which are not solely dictated by the content of the conversation (Nyman-Salonen et al., 2022; Tschacher, 2022).

This viewpoint grants the therapeutic process the role of a setting for exchanging embodied and energetic resources, where the dynamic interplay between physiological conditions and the rhythmic flow of emotions influences both the therapist's capacity for co-regulation and the client's ability to access internal states (Porges, 2025; Tschächer, 2022). Comprehending how energetic dynamics, embodied presence, and affective attunement work together to form the therapeutic relationship and promote psychological transformation at an elevated level is constrained when these factors are recognized within the psychotherapy framework.

The active interaction of bodily intersubjectivity, energy regulation and co-regulation, emotional exchange, and the synchronization of autonomous nervous system (ANS) processes, expressed through behavior, neurophysiology, and relationships, is presently examined as the therapeutic aspects of interactions in psychotherapy, which includes both the therapist and the client (Vaisvaser, 2021; Angeletti, 2025), as defined by Kaiser et al. (2025) and Gregorini et al. (2025), "energetic dimensions" refer to physiological and emotional processes that are quantifiable, including skin conductance, heart rate variability, and slight alterations in posture, voice, and subtle micro-movements that happen concurrently and indicate and facilitate the participants' co-regulation.

The researchers involved in the study noted that embodied intersubjectivity can be perceived as a therapeutic presence that unexpectedly arises at the dyadic level; it is not a pre-existing cognition but is instantiated through the reciprocal alignment of bodily and emotional states (Angeletti, 2025). Consequently, the energetic regulation pertains to an individual's ability to adjust and stabilize both their own and another person's arousal, while energetic co-regulation involves the mutual, ongoing alignment and recalibration of emotional and autonomic activation during a session (Somà & Baucom, 2020). The energetic exchanges conducted by the participants are not merely internalized: external expressions through micro-level interpersonal synchrony, such as the coordination of body movements, facial feedback, and prosodic mirroring, are establishing the conditions for the emergence of rapport, alliance, and corrective emotional experiences (Gregorini et al., 2025; Tschacher & Meier, 2020).

The functioning of the autonomic nervous system is what this entire framework primarily centers on, with its activities governing everything from physiology to threat detection and emotional resonance. Research on neural and bioenergetic aspects conducted since 2020 has demonstrated that not just inter-brain oscillatory synchronization ("hyperscanning") but also interpersonal synchrony overall predicts the establishment of a therapeutic alliance, a sense of connection, and deeper emotional transformations (Kaiser et al., 2025; Gregorini et al., 2025). Furthermore, simultaneously, the researchers discovered that even non-verbal synchrony—in movements, physiological rhythms, or autonomic indicators—was the primary means by which emotional exchanges facilitated the Healing

Effect and that it was being constantly assessed with the use of modern measurement tools (Angeletti, 2025; Gregorini et al., 2025).

Additionally, despite the advancements mentioned above, a conceptual and methodological gap remains that must be addressed: current models frequently treat energetic and relational data streams as distinct entities, and there are no integrated process theories that, for example, forecast how multi-level synchrony interacts with cognitive and affective content to produce sustained change. Furthermore, unresolved inquiries persist concerning the causality and directionality of energetic co-regulation, the specificity of synchrony markers related to therapeutic progress, and the translation of bioenergetic evidence into the clinical intervention framework (Kaiser et al., 2025; Vaisvaser, 2021). Therefore, the current investigation focuses on creating diverse strategies that are integrated, multi-modal, and capable of operationalizing the energetic aspects in the most empirically sound and therapeutically efficient manners.

The analysis shows that the energetic elements of the therapeutic interaction consist of diverse processes occurring on various levels: embodied intersubjectivity, bioenergetic regulation, and interpersonal synchrony. These processes can be observed in the behavioral, neurophysiological, and emotional domains. The capacity of the partners to co-regulate their energies not only facilitates the exchange of affects and the synchronization of autonomic nervous systems, but it also provides a basis for relational alignment and therapeutic change. In this context, these processes affect not just the formation of theories but also the practical implementation of psychotherapy interventions; therefore, the integration of energy concepts into the frameworks of interpersonal regulation might remain one of the greatest challenges in the discipline. Nonetheless, an enduring discrepancy exists between empirical evidence of synchrony and its exact incorporation into cohesive, multi-modal models of mechanism and outcome. The advancement of this area will depend on the development of cross-method frameworks that can successfully encapsulate the therapeutic dynamic interaction of energetic, cognitive, and affective systems.

References:

1. Gregorini, C., et al. (2025). Potential role of nonverbal synchrony in psychotherapy: a meta-analysis. *Counselling and Psychotherapy Research*, 25, 141-152. <https://embodiment.ch/research/papers/Gregorini%20etal-2025-%20Synchrony%20Meta-analysis.pdf>
2. Kaiser, N., et al. (2025). Interpersonal neural synchrony as a mechanism of therapeutic alliance? a systematic review of hyperscanning in clinical encounters. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 19, 1549203. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2025.1549203>
3. Nyman-Salonen, P., Kykryri, V.-L., & Penttonen, M. (2022). Challenges and added value of measuring embodied variables in psychotherapy. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 13, 1058507. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.1058507>
4. Molinero, F. (2025). Therapeutic communication laboratory: Integrating mixed methods with digital tools and reflective professional practice. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16, 1447587. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1447587>

5. Porges, S. W. (2025). Polyvagal Theory: Current status, clinical applications, and future directions. *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, 19, 1659083. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2025.1659083>
6. Soma, C. S., & Baucom, B. R. W. (2020). Coregulation of therapist and client emotion during psychotherapy. *Emotion*, 20(5), 792–803. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000627>
7. Tschacher, W., & Meier, D. (2020). Exploring conversational and physiological aspects of psychotherapy interaction: The psychophysiology of social coupling. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 591124. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.591124>
8. Tschacher, W., & Meier, D. (2022). Embodiment and affective exchange in psychotherapy: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 9800897. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.9800897>
9. Vaisvaser, S. (2021). The embodied-enactive-interactive brain: Bridging neuroscience and creative arts therapies. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 634079. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.634079>

